Wider Red Road At-a-glance summary 2006-2015



We have conducted four repeat resident surveys in Wider Red Road over our ten-year study: the first in 2006 in which 1,087 residents took part; the second in 2008 in which 837 residents took part; the third in 2011 in which 809 residents took part; and the last survey in 2015 in which 691 residents were interviewed. These surveys included a whole range of questions about how people feel about their homes, their neighbourhood, services in the area, their lifestyle and circumstances and their health and wellbeing.

Over time, this has helped us understand more about the process of neighbourhood regeneration, and to highlight what is working well for communities and what needs more attention.

A selection of the findings for Wider Red Road from the four surveys is summarised below.

Health	Wave 1 (2006)	Wave 2 (2008)	Wave 3 (2011)	Wave 4 (2015)
Residents who felt they had good, very good or excellent general health	80.6%	74.4%	62.2%	69.8%
Residents reporting they regularly experienced stress, anxiety or depression over the last 12 months	n/a *	12.2%	21.0%	16.1%
Residents who felt optimistic about the future	n/a *	80.4%	76.6%	76.8%
Residents who currently smoke	41.2%	41.7%	39.9%	35.4%
Residents who currently drink alcohol	41.8%	68.1%	68.3%	61.6%
Residents who had at least one fast food main meal in the last week	39.3%	48.8%	44.1%	50.2%
Residents who walked in the neighbourhood most days	n/a *	38.7%	38.1%	46.2%

^{*} Note: question introduced from 2008 onwards



Housing	Wave 1 (2006)	Wave 2 (2008)	Wave 3 (2011)	Wave 4 (2015)
Residents very or fairly satisfied with their home	84.5%	88.5%	86.5%	88.8%
Residents rating the external appearance of their home as very good	20.9%	29.0%	29.3%	31.4%
Residents who strongly agreed they felt safe in their home	19.3%	33.0%	32.9%	40.9%
Residents very or fairly satisfied with landlords/factors taking account of residents' views when making decisions	58.7%	50.3%	34.7%	68.3%
Residents very or fairly satisfied with the way landlords/factors keep residents informed	76.4%	59.3%	45.6%	77.6%

Neighbourhoods	Wave 1 (2006)	Wave 2 (2008)	Wave 3 (2011)	Wave 4 (2015)
Residents very or fairly satisfied with their neighbourhood	79.7%	87.0%	78.7%	88.6%
Residents reporting that the neighbourhood has got better	13.5%	27.5%	27.8%	33.9%
Residents rating the attractiveness of the environment as very or fairly good	61.0%	71.3%	66.3%	~ 67.2%
Residents rating the local shops as very or fairly good	57.4%	77.8%	59.3%	74.8%
Residents rating the local parks as very or fairly good	50.7%	72.2%	68.2%	73.4%
Residents rating the local youth and leisure services as very or fairly good	44.9%	42.1%	24.1%	39.7%
Residents rating drunkenness or rowdiness in public places as a serious or slight local problem	39.6%	45.5%	48.3%	31.8%
Residents rating drug use/drug dealing as a serious or slight local problem	33.1%	41.8%	44.7%	34.4%
Residents who felt very or fairly safe walking alone in the neighbourhood after dark	75.8%	62.7%	56.1%	68.4%



Community	Wave 1 (2006)	Wave 2 (2008)	Wave 3 (2011)	Wave 4 (2015)
Residents who felt part of the community	n/a *	88.1%	72.4%	75.3%
Residents who felt someone was likely to intervene in cases of public harassment (informal social control)	54.2%	54.7%	46.7%	58.5%
Residents who speak to neighbours at least once a week	82.0%	73.4%	87.1%	76.9%
Residents who met up with friends at least once a week	76.6%	67.2%	68.8%	71.3%
Residents who could rely on people to go to the shops for them if they were unwell (practical social support)	80.9%	83.5%	89.0%	88.0%
Residents who could rely on one or more people for advice and support in a crisis (emotional social support)	79.0%	82.9%	85.2%	84.3%
Residents who felt they have the ability to influence decisions in the local area	38.2%	55.3%	39.2%	48.4%

Household finances	Wave 1 (2006)	Wave 2 (2008)	Wave 3 (2011)	Wave 4 (2015)
Residents with difficulties meeting the cost of the rent/mortgage	17.0%	19.4%	13.7%	7.7%
Residents with difficulties meeting the cost of gas, electricity or other fuel bills	16.3%	27.7% £	25.0%	16.6%
Residents with difficulties meeting the cost of food	8.8%	17.7%	18.2%	10.2%