

Riddrie

At-a-glance summary

2006-2015

We have conducted four repeat resident surveys in Riddrie over our ten-year study: the first in 2006 in which 596 residents took part; the second in 2008 in which 481 residents took part; the third in 2011 in which 213 residents took part; and the last survey in 2015 in which 376 residents were interviewed. These surveys included a whole range of questions about how people feel about their homes, their neighbourhood, services in the area, their lifestyle and circumstances and their health and wellbeing.

Over time, this has helped us understand more about the process of neighbourhood regeneration, and to highlight what is working well for communities and what needs more attention.

A selection of the findings for Riddrie from the four surveys is summarised below.

Health	Wave 1 (2006)	Wave 2 (2008)	Wave 3 (2011)	Wave 4 (2015)
Residents who felt they had good, very good or excellent general health	79.4%	78.4%	75.6%	75.6%
Residents reporting they regularly experienced stress, anxiety or depression over the last 12 months	n/a *	7.1%	14.6%	19.7%
Residents who felt optimistic about the future	n/a *	92.5%	90.6%	80.6%
Residents who currently smoke	37.2%	36.2%	28.4%	35.3%
Residents who currently drink alcohol	43.0%	65.8%	61.5%	67.9%
Residents who had at least one fast food main meal in the last week	33.8%	35.4%	41.0%	45.1%
Residents who walked in the neighbourhood most days	n/a *	46.8%	41.8%	50.0%

* Note: question introduced from 2008 onwards

Housing	Wave 1 (2006)	Wave 2 (2008)	Wave 3 (2011)	Wave 4 (2015)
Residents very or fairly satisfied with their home	88.9%	93.5%	88.7%	92.0%
Residents rating the external appearance of their home as very good	14.6%	25.2%	30.0%	31.9%
Residents who strongly agreed they felt safe in their home	13.1%	28.9%	39.9%	29.6%
Residents very or fairly satisfied with landlords/factors taking account of residents' views when making decisions	52.8%	57.8%	44.2%	75.4%
Residents very or fairly satisfied with the way landlords/factors keep residents informed	77.5%	61.1%	52.4%	84.3%

Neighbourhoods	Wave 1 (2006)	Wave 2 (2008)	Wave 3 (2011)	Wave 4 (2015)
Residents very or fairly satisfied with their neighbourhood	92.3%	96.9%	92.5%	89.9%
Residents reporting that the neighbourhood has got better	3.0%	11.7%	22.4%	23.3%
Residents rating the attractiveness of the environment as very or fairly good	75.8%	90.0%	88.3%	81.4%
Residents rating the local shops as very or fairly good	63.4%	81.7%	87.8%	87.5%
Residents rating the local parks as very or fairly good	47.0%	76.9%	72.5%	82.9%
Residents rating the local youth and leisure services as very or fairly good	39.6%	30.8%	49.8%	26.2%
Residents rating drunkenness or rowdiness in public places as a serious or slight local problem	23.8%	21.8%	21.1%	31.1%
Residents rating drug use/drug dealing as a serious or slight local problem	14.1%	15.8%	11.3%	25.8%
Residents who felt very or fairly safe walking alone in the neighbourhood after dark	68.0%	58.0%	66.5%	68.4%

Community	Wave 1 (2006)	Wave 2 (2008)	Wave 3 (2011)	Wave 4 (2015)
Residents who felt part of the community	n/a *	94.6%	77.7%	82.0%
Residents who felt someone was likely to intervene in cases of public harassment (informal social control)	63.3%	58.4%	60.1%	64.0%
Residents who speak to neighbours at least once a week	83.9%	78.0%	87.3%	67.8%
Residents who met up with friends at least once a week	79.0%	76.4%	83.1%	62.7%
Residents who could rely on people to go to the shops for them if they were unwell (practical social support)	76.6%	82.0%	87.3%	85.9%
Residents who could rely on one or more people for advice and support in a crisis (emotional social support)	74.0%	78.6%	79.0%	83.7%
Residents who felt they have the ability to influence decisions in the local area	30.9%	57.6%	48.1%	53.5%

Household finances	Wave 1 (2006)	Wave 2 (2008)	Wave 3 (2011)	Wave 4 (2015)
Residents with difficulties meeting the cost of the rent/mortgage	15.1%	7.5%	6.1%	5.6%
Residents with difficulties meeting the cost of gas, electricity or other fuel bills	11.7%	10.0%	15.5%	16.9%
Residents with difficulties meeting the cost of food	8.1%	9.8%	11.3%	12.1%